Description:
This course is designed to provide an overview of the psychological bases of prejudice and conflict. Although we will primarily adopt a psychological approach to these phenomena, the material is inherently interdisciplinary and thus draws on a number of related fields such as political science, philosophy, anthropology, sociology, and history.

Structure

Readings:
All readings will be posted on Sakai. You are expected to complete all of the readings before class and be prepared to discuss them. These readings are primarily journal articles from social psychological journals. Thus, these articles will often present research that has been conducted, including the methodology and results. While the main focus of this readings is the theory and findings presented in the introduction and discussion, I do anticipate you to read the paper in the entirety. The methodologies are often where we may find room to critique or questions the authors’ conclusions and determine if this work is a valid test of the authors’ hypotheses. You are expected to do your best with the statistics in the results section, but do not worry if you do not understand the analyses.

Lectures:
The lectures will blend discussion and material that gives a solid foundation of that topic area. You are strongly encouraged to participate and comment during class.

Course Expectations
The purpose of this course is to expose you to a broad spectrum of ideas and perspectives on discrimination, prejudice and racial stereotypes. You are under NO obligation to agree with the authors or the professor. Rather, your obligation is to demonstrate comprehension and thoughtful consideration. At the end of the course you should be able to articulate and effectively argue your own position. Although we may not agree about our interpretations of the various materials, we can agree to commit ourselves to engage course readings, course goals, and each other with openness, careful listening, honesty, and mutual respect. Some of the topics we will discuss may evoke deep emotional feelings in all of us. You and I will view this course as providing a safe environment where students can express their views and openly debate the complicated and multifaceted aspects of the topics we will cover this session.
Therefore, I encourage you to take this opportunity to discuss these issues in an honest and open manner. I must caution, however, that with this openness comes an important responsibility -- that of being respectful of others. While we may disagree and debate, personal attacks on individuals are not allowed. This applies whether the person is a student, a visitor to the class, or the professor. I look forward to hearing your ideas, opinions and working and learning with you.

**Grade Breakdown**

- Attendance & Participation: 20%
- Reading Responses: 20%
- Exam 1: 20%
- Exam 2: 20%
- Group Presentation: 20%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>90-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>87-89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>80-86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>70-76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>77-79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>60-69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Below 60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*I will follow conventional rounding – an 89.4 will not be rounded to a 90, an 89.6 will be rounded to a 90*

**Attendance:**

Attendance and class participation are important for a class of this nature. Attendance will be taken at the start of class. It will be graded as follows: in total we have 22 meetings. If you attend 20 or more of these meetings you will receive the full attendance grade. In other words you have 2 absences before they start impacting your grade. If you miss more than 2 meetings your attendance grade will simply be the number of times you attended divided by the total number of meetings. For example, if you miss 4 class meetings your attendance grade will be: 18/22 = 23%. Note, *being more than 20 minutes late will count as an absence.*

If you have an illness, religious observance or unexpected emergency, you can excuse your absence by getting a written note from your doctor or dean.

**Reading Responses:**

All students are required to complete three “weekly” written assignments. These reflections are intended to be a personal analysis of the assigned reading for the week. They are not intended to be a summary of the reading, but your critical reflection of something you find important, controversial, or troublesome in the reading. In total there will be 3 reflections due. The reflections should be between 1 and 2 pages in length, word processed, double-spaced, size 12 Times New Roman. Longer reflections will be accepted, but are not encouraged.

As you prepare your commentary you are asked to reflect on any combination of the following questions: · What are the key points of the book, chapter, or article? How does the reading relate to the overarching questions of the course? · How does the reading connect to other ideas or information you have learned in the past (either in practice or in other courses)? · How does the reading connect to other information that you are currently exploring in this course? · What did you find most interesting? · What (if anything) do you not understand? ·
What (if anything) do you not agree with? · After having done this reading, what would you like to explore further or learn more about? · How might you apply what you have learned in this reading to your practice or research?

Exams:
There will be two exams, a mid-term and a final. The final will not be comprehensive, but will contain the new information covered since the mid-term. These exams will be a combination of multiple choice and short answer, and the material will include information from both the readings, lectures, and class discussions. More information will be provided prior to each exam.

Presentations:
Each student will be assigned to a group. Each group will be asked to prepare a presentation and lead class discussion on the assigned day. The presentation and discussion will center around an article which the group will read but the class will not. The presentation should provide a thorough review of the article and broader topic (about 20-30 minutes), and then the group will be asked to lead the group in a discussion and/or activity related to the article. Groups are encouraged to meet with the professor prior to the presentation to review the material, discuss activities, and cover critical questions.

Cheating & Plagiarism:
I will not tolerate plagiarism or cheating without exception. All work that students turn in must be their own work. Students should not work collaboratively on assignments without prior approval from the instructor. Any outside sources (including help from other people) must be appropriately referenced in all written work. Turning in someone else's work as your own is completely unacceptable. This includes downloading information from the web and pasting or copying it into your paper. I routinely check Google, Wikipedia and other popular websites to check for plagiarism. Additionally, I require that your paper be turned in as electronic copies so that I can check for plagiarism by matching content to information on the web. Any student who plagiarizes will, at the very least, receive a failing grade for the course. More severe consequences (e.g., expulsion) are also possible. More about academic integrity can be found at http://ctaar.rutgers.edu/integrity/policy.html.

**All papers will be turned in using “turnitin” to check for plagiarism.**

*There will be NO exam makeups*

**Late work will not be accepted without prior arrangement with the professor**

Class Schedule

**WEEK 1: Theories of Prejudice**
May 28th: Syllabus overview, introductions, definition of terms
May 29th: Theories of Prejudices 1
May 30th: Theories of Prejudices 2
WEEK 2: The –isms
June 3rd: Racial prejudice; Explicit & implicit attitudes
June 4th: Benevolent & Hostile sexism
June 5th: Intersectionality & Understudied -isms
June 6th: Documentary & Discussion

WEEK 3: Stigma & Stereotype Threat
June 10th: Stigma & Stereotype Threat 1
June 11th: Stigma & Stereotype Threat 2
June 12th: Sample Presentation & Exam Review
June 13th: Exam 1

WEEK 4: Interracial Interactions, Conflict, Justice system
June 17th: Interracial Interactions
June 18th: Bias in the Justice System
June 19th: Intractable Conflicts
June 20th: Discussion & Group 1 Presentation

WEEK 5: Prejudice Reduction Strategies
June 24th: Changing Stereotype Content
June 25th: Awareness & Motivation
June 26th: Intergroup Contact
June 27th: Discussion & Group 2 Presentation

WEEK 6:
July 1st: Video (?)
July 2nd: Exam review
July 3rd: Final Exam

Important Dates
June 6th: Response 1 due at beginning of class via Sakai
June 13th: Exam 1
June 20th: Response 2 due at beginning of class via Sakai
June 27th: Response 3 due at beginning of class via Sakai
July 3rd: Final Exam

*Dates and assignments subject to change based on pace of course
Readings

**Subject to change**

**Week 1:**


**Week 2:**


**Week 3:**


**Week 4:**


Week 5:

